

1 **CARL E. DOUGLAS, Esq. (SBN 097011)**

2 Carl@DouglasHicksLaw.Com

3 **JAMON HICKS, Esq. (SBN 232747)**

4 Jamon@DouglasHicksLaw.Com

5 **BIANCA PEREZ, Esq. (SBN 327590)**

6 Bianca@douglashickslaw.com

7 **DOUGLAS / HICKS LAW, APC**

8 5120 W. Goldleaf Circle, Suite 140

9 Los Angeles, California 90056

10 Tel: (323) 655-6505; Fax: (323) 927-1941

11 **MIA F. YAMAMOTO, Esq. (SBN 51866)**

12 Myambone@aol.com

13 **LAW OFFICES OF MIA F. YAMAMOTO**

14 800 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 630

15 Los Angeles, CA. 90017

16 Tel: (213) 489-7361; Fax: (213) 489-9705

17 **CYNTHIA ANDERSON-BARKER, Esq. (SBN 175764)**

18 CABLaw@HotMail.com

19 **LAW OFFICES OF CYNTHIA ANDERSON-BARKER**

20 3435 Wilshire Blvd Suite 2910,

21 Los Angeles, CA 90010

22 Tel: (213) 381-3246; Fax: (213) 252-0091

23 **KATH ROGERS, Esq. (SBN 315067)**

24 Kath@NLG.LA.org

25 928 S. Burlington Ave. Suite 205

26 Los Angeles, CA. 90006

27 Tel: (619) 886-9259

28 Attorneys for Plaintiff,

**MELINA ABDULLAH**

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
3

4 DR. MELINA ABDULLAH,

5 *Plaintiff,*  
6

7 vs.

8 CITY OF LOS ANGELES;  
9 CHARLES LLOYD BECK;  
10 JASON CURTIS; and DOES 1  
through 20, Inclusive,

11 *Defendants.*  
12

CASE NO.:

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

1. Unreasonable Search and Seizure – False Imprisonment and Arrest 42 U.S.C. § 1983;
2. Malicious Prosecution - 42 U.S.C. § 1983; and
3. Constitutional Supervisor Liability - 42 U.S.C. § 1983

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

---

13 Dr. MELINA ABDULLAH alleges as follows:  
14  
15

16 I.  
17 INTRODUCTION

18 1. This civil rights action seeks compensatory and punitive damages  
19 from Defendants for violating various rights under the United States Constitution  
20 in connection with the wrongful arrest and prosecution of Dr. MELINA  
21 ABDULLAH.  
22

23 II.  
24 PARTIES

25 2. At all relevant times mentioned here, MELINA ABDULLAH, Ph.D.  
26 (hereinafter the “Dr. ABDULLAH”) is an individual living in Los Angeles, CA.

27 3. At all relevant times mentioned here, Defendant CITY OF LOS  
28 ANGELES (“CITY”) is an incorporated public entity or municipal corporation

1 duly authorized and existing under the laws of the State of California. At all  
2 relevant times mentioned here, Defendant CITY possesses the power and authority  
3 to adopt policies and prescribe rules, regulations and practices affecting the  
4 operation of the Los Angeles Police Department and its tactics, methods, practices,  
5 customs and usage. At all relevant times mentioned here, Defendant CITY  
6 employed Defendants CHARLES LLOYD BECK, JASON CURTIS and DOES 1  
7 through 20 as peace officers.

8 4. Dr. ABDULLAH is informed and believes that at all relevant times  
9 mentioned here, Defendant CHARLES LLOYD BECK ("Defendant BECK") is a  
10 resident of Los Angeles County, California. Defendant BECK is the former Police  
11 Chief of the Los Angeles Police Department ("LAPD") who is being sued in his  
12 individual capacity. At all relevant times mentioned here, Defendant BECK is a  
13 duly authorized agent and supervisory employee of Defendants CITY, and each of  
14 his other co-Defendants, who was acting under color of laws of the State of  
15 California, and within the course and scope of his respective duties as Police Chief  
16 and within the complete authority and ratification of Defendant CITY.

17 5. Dr. ABDULLAH is informed and believes that at all relevant times  
18 mentioned here, Defendant JASON CURTIS, Serial No. 35603 ("Defendant  
19 CURTIS") is a resident of Los Angeles County, CA. Defendant CURTIS is a  
20 Detective II police officer, assigned to the Los Angeles Board of Police  
21 Commissioners (the "Police Commission") who is being sued in his individual  
22 capacity. At all relevant times mentioned here, Defendant CURTIS is a duly  
23 authorized agent and employee of Defendants CITY, and each of his other co-  
24 Defendants, who was acting under color of laws of the State of California, and  
25 within the course and scope of his respective duties as a police officer and with the  
26 complete authority and ratification of Defendant CITY.

27 6. At all relevant times, Defendants BECK, CURTIS, and DOES 1  
28 through 20, individually and as peace officers were duly appointed officers,

1 employees and/or agents of the CITY, subject to oversight and supervision by the  
2 CITY's elected and non-elected officials.

3 7. In doing the acts and failing and omitting to act as described below,  
4 each of the Defendants BECK, CURTIS, and DOES 1 through 20, individually and  
5 as peace officers, was the agent of each and every other Defendant and had the  
6 legal duty to oversee and supervise the hiring, conduct and employment of each  
7 and every Defendant. In doing the acts and failing and omitting to act as described  
8 here, Defendants BECK, CURTIS, and DOES 1 through 20 were acting on the  
9 implied and actual permission and consent of the CITY.

10 8. Dr. ABDULLAH is unaware of the true names and capacities of those  
11 Defendants sued here as Defendants DOES 1 through 20, and therefore sues these  
12 Defendants using their fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to  
13 allege each Defendant's true name and capacity when that information becomes  
14 known. Plaintiff is informed and believes that each of these DOE Defendants is  
15 legally responsible and liable for the incident, injuries, and damages set forth  
16 below, and that each of these Defendants legally caused the injuries and damages  
17 by reason of the negligent, careless, deliberately indifferent, intentional, willful, or  
18 wanton misconduct, including the negligent, careless, deliberately indifferent,  
19 intentional, willful, and/or wanton misconduct in creating and otherwise causing  
20 the incidents, conditions, and circumstances set forth below, or by reason of direct  
21 or imputed vicarious fault or breach of duty arising out of the matters alleged here.

22  
23 **III.**  
24 **JURISDICTION**

25 9. This civil action is brought for the redress of alleged deprivations of  
26 constitutional rights as protected by 42 *U.S.C.* §§ 1983, 1985, 1986, and 1988, and  
27 the First, Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitutions.  
28 Jurisdiction is founded on 28 *U.S.C.* §§ 1331, 1343, and 1367.



1 Police Commissioners with individuals who better represent the communities  
2 LAPD patrols.

3 15. Dr. ABDULLAH frequently criticizes the policies and practices of  
4 Defendant BECK and the LAPD and is a vocal supporter of the hundreds of  
5 families—disproportionately Black and Brown—whose loved ones died in the  
6 custody of the LAPD. Dr. ABDULLAH regularly exercises her constitutional  
7 right to petition the government by attending public meetings of the Police  
8 Commission and commenting on their conduct.

9 16. The Police Commission serves as the head of the LAPD. The civilian  
10 Commissioners function like a corporate board of directors setting policies for the  
11 Department and managing its operations. The five member Commission holds their  
12 public meetings every Tuesday at LAPD headquarters, located at 100 W. First St.,  
13 Los Angeles, CA. 90012.

14 17. On or about May 8, 2018, at around 10:20 a.m., Dr. ABDULLAH is  
15 lawfully entering a public meeting of the Police Commission, located at 100 W.  
16 First St. Los Angeles, CA. 90012, when she sees a commotion unfolding towards  
17 the front to the auditorium. Police Commissioner Steve Soboroff directs officers to  
18 remove Mrs. Jasmyne Richards (“Mrs. Richards”) a member of the public from the  
19 room. Dr. ABDULLAH had not walked far into the room as officers begin  
20 removing Mrs. Richards.

21 18. Dr. ABDULLAH turns and watches Mrs. Richards and the officers as  
22 they escort her out. Another member of the public, a white woman named Gina  
23 Viola Peake, stands up to watch what is happening as the police are escorting Mrs.  
24 Richards out. An individual named Sheila Hines-Brim (“Mrs. Brim”), a relative of  
25 Wakeisha Wilson who died in police custody, allegedly throws an unknown  
26 powdery substance at Defendant Beck while he was seated at the dais at the front  
27 of the room.  
28

1        19. Defendant CURTIS is ordered to remove Ms. Brim from the room.  
2 As LAPD officers escorted Mrs. Richards and Mrs. Brim out of the room, officers  
3 blocked other members of the public from leaving. Ms. Peake repeatedly tries to  
4 push her way past the officers blocking the exit. An officer warns Ms. Peake that  
5 she will be arrested for assaulting an officer if she continues trying to push her way  
6 past again. Despite this warning, Ms. Peake once more attempts to push her way  
7 past the officer. At about the same time, Dr. ABDULLAH is attempting to  
8 videotape the removal of Mrs. Richards and Mrs. Brim.

9        20. Shortly after Ms. Peake's final attempt to push past the officer,  
10 Defendant CURTIS and DOES 1 through 15 yell "Get Melina!" Defendant  
11 CURTIS and DOES 1 through 15 reaches over Ms. Peake to grab Dr.  
12 ABDULLAH and places her into custody. As she is being arrested, Dr.  
13 ABDULLAH tosses personal items including her phone to Ms. Peake. (Id. at ¶9).  
14 Defendants CURTIS and DOES 1 through 15, who warn Ms. Peake that she could  
15 be arrested for assault, also attempt to grab Dr. ABDULLAH's phone. Ms. Peake  
16 tries to pull it out of the officers' hands and plays "tug of war" with the phone  
17 before Defendants CURTIS and DOES 1 through 15 release the phone.

18        21. Defendants CURTIS and DOES 1 through 15 subsequently arrest Dr.  
19 ABDULLAH for assault and battery. Defendant CURTIS and DOES 1 through 15  
20 falsely allege that as CURTIS was escorting Ms. Brim out of the Police  
21 Commission hearing room, DR. ABDULLAH interfered and obstructed his efforts  
22 to arrest Ms. Brim by grabbing his right bicep with a firm "C" grip. CURTIS  
23 claims Dr. ABDULLAH's efforts briefly caused him to lose his grip on Ms. Brim  
24 as he was escorting her out of the room. In truth and in fact, Dr. ABDULLAH  
25 never touched Defendant CURTIS as he was leaving the Commission hearing  
26 room. In truth and in fact, although several police officers were interviewed who  
27 witnessed Brim being escorted out of the hearing room, no one else ever reported  
28 Dr. ABDULLAH grabbing Defendant CURTIS' arm as he alleged. In truth and in

1 fact Defendant CURTIS and DOES 1 through 15 maliciously, deliberately, and  
2 intentionally accused Dr. ABULLAH of assaulting and battering him knowing that  
3 allegation was false. Indeed, Ms. Peake repeatedly told arresting officers that day  
4 that she, rather than Dr. ABDULLAH, was the person who had come into contact  
5 with the Defendant CURTIS' arm.

6 22. As a legal result of the actions described above, on or about July 24,  
7 2018, the Los Angeles City Attorney's Office charged Dr. ABDULLAH in Cases  
8 No. 8CJ10571 Dr. ADBULLAH in a Misdemeanor Complaint with one count of  
9 battery on a police officer, in violation of California Penal Code §§ 242/243, and  
10 obstructing a police officer, in violation of Penal Code §148 (a) (1), for acts  
11 described in this Complaint. On or about November 5, 2018, Dr. ABDULLAH  
12 filed a Motion to Dismiss for Discriminatory Prosecution the underlying charges  
13 filed against her.

14 23. On or about August 8, 2019, Los Angeles Superior Court Judge  
15 Songhai D. Maguda-Armstead granted the CITY's Motion to Dismiss the above-  
16 referenced charges against Dr. ABDULLAH. The CITY agreed to dismiss all  
17 charges against Dr. ABDULLAH while her Motion to Dismiss for Discriminatory  
18 Prosecution was still pending. Accordingly, there was a favorable resolution of the  
19 criminal charges against her in her favor.

20 //

21 //



V.

**FOR THE FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**  
**(PLAINTIFF DR. MELINA ABDULLAH**  
**AGAINST DEFENDANTS CITY, CURTIS,**  
**And DOES 1 Through 15 For**  
**UNREASONABLE SEARCH AND SEIZURE**  
**42 U.S.C. § 1983)**

24. Dr. ABDULLAH incorporates by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 23 above, as if fully set forth here.

25. On or before May 8, 2018, Dr. ABDULLAH enjoyed the right to be secure in her person against unreasonable searches and seizures by the CITY, as guaranteed her under the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution and applied to state actors by the Fourteenth Amendment.

26. On or about May 8, 2018 at about 10:20 a.m., each of the Defendants CITY, CURTIS, and DOES 1 through 15 violated Dr. ABDULLAH rights by falsely, wrongfully, and intentionally detaining, imprisoning, and arresting her.

27. As a legal result of the Defendants' actions and failures to act as described here, Dr. ABDULLAH has sustained serious damages and injuries to her mind, emotions, and reputation as a student of nonviolent, social and political activism, all in an amount to be determined according to proof at trial.

28. As a further legal result of the actions and failures to act as described here, Dr. ABDULLAH has retained counselors and advisors to examine, treat, and care for her. Thus, she has incurred costs and expenses for emotional counseling and treatment, all in an amount to be determined according to proof at trial.

29. Dr. ABDULLAH maintains that Defendants CURTIS, and DOES 1 through 15 consciously targeted Dr. ABDULLAH for detention, imprisonment, and arrest because she has been a vocal advocate at Police Commission meetings for the rights of members of the Black and Brown communities, who frequently voices

1 complaints against the members of the LAPD, including Defendants BECK and  
2 CURTIS. Consequently, Defendants CURTIS and DOES 1 through 15's actions  
3 were cold, calculating, willful, wanton, malicious, oppressive, and in reckless  
4 disregard for Dr. ABDULLAH's rights, justifying the imposition of exemplary and  
5 punitive damages against the individual Defendants, in an amount to be determined  
6 according to proof at trial.

7 30. Dr. ABDULLAH also seeks reasonable attorney fees and costs under  
8 this claim pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988.

9  
10 **VI.**  
11 **FOR THE SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**  
12 **(DR. MELINA ABDULLAH**  
13 **AGAINST DEFENDANTS CITY, CURTIS**  
14 **And DOES 1 Through 15 For**  
15 **MALICIOUS PROSECUTION**  
16 **42 U.S.C. § 1983)**

17 31. Dr. ABDULLAH incorporates by reference the allegations contained  
18 in paragraphs 1 through 30 above, as if fully set forth here.

19 32. On and before May 8, 2018, Dr. ABDULLAH enjoyed rights  
20 guaranteed her by the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States  
21 Constitution, including the right to be free from unreasonable seizures not based on  
22 probable cause.

23 33. Each of the Defendants CITY, CURTIS, and DOES 1 through 15  
24 willfully, intentionally and maliciously caused Dr. ABDULLAH to be arrested for  
25 battery on police officer though each of the Defendants knew that there was no  
26 factual basis or probable cause to support her arrest.

27 34. As a legal result of this malicious conduct, Dr. ABDULLAH was  
28 arrested and subsequently charged on July 24 2018 with battery on a police officer

1 and obstructing a police officer in the performance of his duties, in violation of  
2 California Penal Code §§ 242/243, 148.

3 35. On or about August 8, 2019, Los Angeles Superior Court Judge  
4 Songhai D. Maguda-Armstead granted the CITY's motion to dismiss the above-  
5 referenced charges against Dr. ABDULLAH. Accordingly, there was a favorable  
6 resolution of the criminal charges filed against her in her favor.

7 36. As a legal result of the Defendants' actions and failures to act as  
8 described here, Dr. ABDULLAH has sustained serious damages and injuries to her  
9 mind, emotions, and reputation as a student of nonviolent, social and political  
10 activism, all in an amount to be determined according to proof at trial.

11 37. As a further legal result of the actions and failures to act as described  
12 here, Dr. ABDULLAH has retained counselors and advisors to examine, treat, and  
13 care for her. Thus, she has incurred costs and expenses for emotional counseling  
14 and treatment, all in an amount to be determined according to proof at trial.

15 38. Dr. ABDULLAH maintains that Defendants CURTIS, and DOES 1  
16 through 15 consciously targeted Dr. ABDULLAH for detention, imprisonment,  
17 and arrest because she has been a vocal advocate at Police Commission meetings  
18 for the rights of members of the Black and Brown communities, who frequently  
19 voices complaints against the Police Commissioners, and members of the LAPD,  
20 including Defendants BECK and CURTIS. Consequently, Defendants CURTIS  
21 and DOES 1 through 15's actions were cold, calculating, willful, wanton,  
22 malicious, oppressive, and in reckless disregard for Dr. ABDULLAH's rights,  
23 justifying the imposition of exemplary and punitive damages against the individual  
24 Defendants, in an amount to be determined according to proof at trial.

25 39. Dr. ABDULLAH also seeks reasonable attorney fees and costs under  
26 this claim pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988.

**VI.**  
**FOR THE THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**  
**(DR. MELINA ABDULLAH AGAINST**  
**DEFENDANTS BECK, And**  
**DOES 16 Through 20 For**  
**CONSTITUTIONAL SUPERVISOR LIABILITY**  
**42 U.S.C. § 1983)**

40. Dr. ABDULLAH incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 39 above, as if fully set forth here.

41. Dr. ABDULLAH is informed and believes that her false detention, imprisonment and arrest was ratified by the CITY's supervisory police officers, including Defendants BECK and DOES 16 through 20. She is informed and believes that each of the Defendants either personally participated in the constitutional violation described above, or there is a causal connection between each of the Defendants' actions and the constitutional violation.

42. Dr. ABDULLAH is informed and believes that Defendant CURTIS and DOES 1 – 15 have not been fired, suspended, or disciplined for falsely accusing Dr. ABDULLAH of grabbing CURTIS' arm in a harmful and offensive way. As such each of these Defendants has ratified CURTIS 'wrongful and malicious conduct.

43. Moreover, Dr. ABDULLAH is informed and believes that each of the Defendants BECK and DOES 16 through 20 was present at the May 8, 2018 Police Commission meeting when Dr. ABDULLAH was wrongfully arrested, and is aware of the wrongfulness of her arrest. Each of the Defendants BECK and DOES 16 through 20 was present when Ms. Peake spoke at a subsequent Police Commission meeting explaining that **she** had in fact touched Defendant CURTIS' arm, though CURTIS had directed officers to arrest Dr. ABDULLAH.

1 Nevertheless, each of the Defendants BECK and DOES 16 through 20  
2 intentionally, maliciously, and deliberately caused or ratified her prosecution.

3 44. Indeed, despite overwhelming evidence that Dr. ABDULLAH had **not**  
4 engaged in any criminally offensive conduct, the Defendants BECK, CURTIS, and  
5 DOES 1 through 20 intentionally and wrongfully promoted a false narrative  
6 against Dr. ABDULLAH in an effort to silence her vocal criticism of Defendant  
7 BECK, CURTIS, the Los Angeles Police Commissioners, and the LAPD.

8 45. As a legal result of the Defendants' actions and failures to act as  
9 described here, Dr. ABDULLAH has sustained serious damages and injuries to her  
10 mind, emotions, and reputation as a student of nonviolent, social and political  
11 activism, all in an amount to be determined according to proof at trial.

12 46. As a further legal result of the actions and failures to act as described  
13 here, Dr. ABDULLAH has retained counselors and advisors to examine, treat, and  
14 care for her. Thus, she has incurred costs and expenses for emotional counseling  
15 and treatment, all in an amount to be determined according to proof at trial.

16 47. Dr. ABDULLAH also seeks reasonable attorney fees and costs under  
17 this claim pursuant to 42 *U.S.C.* § 1988.

18  
19 **WHEREFORE, Dr. MELINA ABDULLAH** prays for judgment against  
20 all Defendants as follows:

21  
22 **FOR THE FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

- 23 1. For compensatory damages, in an amount to be determined according to  
24 proof at trial;  
25 2. For exemplary and punitive damages against the individual defendants in  
26 an amount to be determined according to proof at trial; and  
27 3. For attorney fees, costs, and expenses in an amount to be determined  
28 according to proof at trial pursuant to 42 *U.S.C.* § 1988.

**FOR THE SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

1. For compensatory damages, in an amount to be determined according to proof at trial;
2. For exemplary and punitive damages against the individual defendants in an amount to be determined according to proof at trial; and
3. For attorney fees, costs, and expenses in an amount to be determined according to proof at trial pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988.

**FOR THE THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

1. For compensatory damages, in an amount to be determined according to proof at trial; and
2. For attorney fees, costs, and expenses in an amount to be determined according to proof at trial pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988.

**FOR ALL CAUSES OF ACTION**

1. For costs of suit; and
2. For such further other relief as the Court may deem just, proper and appropriate.

Dated: January 13, 2020

***DOUGLAS / HICKS LAW, APC***

By: /s/ Carl E. Douglas

**CARL E. DOUGLAS, ESQ.**

Attorneys for Dr. MELINA ABDULLAH

//

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Dr. MELINA ABDULLAH demands a trial by jury.

Dated: January 13, 2020

***DOUGLAS / HICKS LAW, APC***

By: /s/ Carl E. Douglas  
**CARL E. DOUGLAS, ESQ.**  
Attorneys for Dr. MELINA ABDULLAH